

INTERFERENCE DIGEST

Serial No	nterference No	103,929	··		Paper No	37
FINAL DECISION FINAL DECISION FINAL DECISION Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences,	lame, <u>C. Ri</u>	<u>chard Schlegel</u>	et al.			
DECISION ON MOTIONS Examiner-in-Chief,	erial No. <u>08/</u>	216,506	Pa	ent No		
DECISION ON MOTIONS Examiner-in-Chief,	_					
FINAL DECISION oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,		<u>Frazer et a</u>	l.v. Ro	se et al.	v. Lowy	et al.
FINAL DECISION oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,		DEC	ISION ON N	IOTIONS		
FINAL DECISION Foard of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Dated, Dated, REMARKS	xaminer-in-Chie	f,			Dated,	
FINAL DECISION oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
FINAL DECISION oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,						
FINAL DECISION oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,						
oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,		·				
oard of Patent Appeals and Interferences,						
Court, Dated, REMARKS		F	INAL DECIS	SION		
REMARKS	oard of Patent A	ppeals and Interferences,			Da	ted,
	ourt,			Dated,		
Pemo Opinion ORDER TERMINATING TOTE 1964/01			REMARK	S		
	Memo Op	DINION / ORDS	RERM	nating	Inf	1964/01
						

 $This should \ be \ placed \ in \ each \ application \ or \ patent \ involved \ in \ interference \ in \ addition \ to \ the \ interference \ letters.$